

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in National Register Bulletin, *How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form*. If any item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions.

1. Name of Property

Historic name: Barr, George & Mabel House

Other names/site number: Kaplan, Sharyn & Schneider, Mark House

Name of related multiple property listing:



(Enter "N/A" if property is not part of a multiple property listing)

N/A

2. Location

Street & number: 2672 Montgomery Way

City or town: Sacramento State: CA County: Sacramento (067)

Not For Publication:

Vicinity:

3. State/Federal Agency Certification

As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended,

I hereby certify that this ___ nomination ___ request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60.

In my opinion, the property ___ meets ___ does not meet the National Register Criteria. I recommend that this property be considered significant at the following level(s) of significance:

___ national ___ statewide ___ local

Applicable National Register Criteria:

___ A ___ B ___ C ___ D

<p>_____</p> <p>Signature of certifying official/Title:</p> <p>_____</p> <p>State or Federal agency/bureau or Tribal Government</p>	<p>_____</p> <p>Date</p>
---	---------------------------------

<p>In my opinion, the property ___ meets ___ does not meet the National Register criteria.</p>	
<p>_____</p> <p>Signature of commenting official:</p> <p>_____</p> <p>Title :</p>	<p>_____</p> <p>Date</p> <p>_____</p> <p>State or Federal agency/bureau or Tribal Government</p>

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State

4. National Park Service Certification

I hereby certify that this property is:

- entered in the National Register
- determined eligible for the National Register
- determined not eligible for the National Register
- removed from the National Register
- other (explain:) _____

Signature of the Keeper

Date of Action

5. Classification

Ownership of Property

(Check as many boxes as apply.)

- Private:
- Public – Local
- Public – State
- Public – Federal

Category of Property

(Check only **one** box.)

- Building(s)
- District
- Site
- Structure
- Object

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State

Number of Resources within Property

(Do not include previously listed resources in the count)

Contributing	Noncontributing	
<u>1</u>	<u> </u>	buildings
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	sites
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	structures
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	objects
<u>1</u>	<u> </u>	Total

Number of contributing resources previously listed in the National Register

6. Function or Use

Historic Functions

(Enter categories from instructions.)

DOMESTIC
/single dwelling

Current Functions

(Enter categories from instructions.)

DOMESTIC
/single dwelling

7. Description

Architectural Classification

(Enter categories from instructions.)

LATE 19TH AND
20TH CENTURY
REVIVALS/
TUDOR REVIVAL

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State

Materials: (enter categories from instructions.)

Principal exterior materials of the property: _ Walls (Stucco), Roof (Wood),
Foundation (Concrete), Glass, Other (Chimney)_____

Narrative Description

(Describe the historic and current physical appearance and condition of the property. Describe contributing and noncontributing resources if applicable. Begin with a **summary paragraph** that briefly describes the general characteristics of the property, such as its location, type, style, method of construction, setting, size, and significant features. Indicate whether the property has historic integrity.)

Summary Paragraph

The George and Mabel Barr House is a 1923 Tudor Revival style house located at 2672 Montgomery Way Sacramento, California. The George and Mabel Barr House was designed by local architects Dean & Dean and built by J.C. Carly Company. The house embodies many of the architectural characteristics of the Tudor Revival style. The house has a steeply-pitched front-gabled roof with a decorative half-timbered entry portico. The roof is comprised of square-butt split shake shingles and V-type ridge covers. In addition, the front facing façade contains a cross-gabled wing extending east from the dominate front-gable that is common of the Tudor Revival style. All of the walls are covered with stucco along with half-timbered siding adorning the front entry. The house has ornamentation on both the front facing façade, as well as the sides and back that add to its beauty exemplary of the Tudor Revival style. The house is in excellent condition and retains a high degree of historic integrity.

Narrative Description

North Facing (Primary) Façade

The asymmetrical front facing façade has a steeply-pitched front-gabled roof. The front-gabled roof is covered with square-butt split shake shingles and V-Type ridge covers. A steeply-pitched cross-gabled wing with metal valleys extends east from the front-gable: it too is covered with square-butt split shake shingles and V-Type ridge covers. The front-gabled roof and cross-gabled wing have a slight eave overhang containing unadorned fascias and soffits. The house is a side-facing T-plan with a concrete foundation. Stucco siding covers the exterior walls. Below the front-gable is a pair of diamond-paned leaded glass casement windows. The windows contain wood head and side casings and window sills. Underneath is a rectangular wooden box containing flowers. Directly above is a small leaded glass casement window containing four small single glass panes with horizontal bars with head and side casings, and a window sill. On the first floor to the right of the front entry is a bay window containing three diamond-paned leaded glass windows. Each leaded glass window contains wood head and side casings with window sills. The bay window is covered by a small hipped roof covered with square-butt split shake shingles and two V-Type ridge covers. Underneath is a support covered in stucco. On the cross-gabled wing are four additional diamond-paned leaded glass casement windows: each have head and side casings with window sills. On the interior are three wooden horizontal beams giving structural support to the cross-gabled wing (**Photograph 13**). The front entry door is board-and-batten with a single

George and Mabel Barr House

Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA

County and State

glass pane covered by a speakeasy grille. Half-timbered beams adorn the front entry. Next to the front entry is a small casement leaded glass window with six single glass panes along with head and side casings, and a window sill. Below the casement window is a mail slot and a doorbell. Brick covers the driveway, as well as the walkway leading to the front entry ending with two brick steps (**Photograph 1**). A stucco covered ridge chimney sits at the end of the cross-gabled wing topped with a squared chimney pot. Grass covers the front lawn and is split by a stoned pathway leading to the brick walkway. Located on the front lawn is a heritage tree surrounded by plants and a running bond brick casing.

West Facing Façade

The west facing façade has a steeply-pitched gabled roof with slight eave overhangs and unadorned fascias and soffits. The gabled roof is covered with square-butt split shake shingles and V-Type ridge covers. A flat roofed dormer is placed halfway along the façade covered in stucco. Stucco covers the exterior walls. On the above floor (near the front) is a paired casement window containing six single glass panes with muntins and horizontal bars. It has a bracketed top covered with square-butt split shake shingles and stucco-covered brackets. On the roof dormer is another casement window containing six single glass panes with muntins and horizontal bars. Next to the roof dormer (near the rear) sits another paired casement window that has six single glass panes along with muntins and horizontal bars. It is covered with a bracketed top. Square-butt split shake shingles cover the bracketed top along with stucco-covered brackets. Continuing along the top floor is one last paired casement window having six single glass panes along with muntins and horizontal bars. It has a bracketed top covered with square-butt split shake shingles. Next, on the first floor (near the front) is a double combination swing-style patio door consisting of two large single glass panes. Located behind this patio door is another double combination swing-style patio door consisting of two columns each having five single glass panes (**Photograph 3**). In the middle of the west façade on the first floor is a paired casement window with six single glass panes. Both windows have muntins and horizontal bars. On the first floor (near the rear) are three square-shaped casement windows each having four single glass panes. All three have muntins and horizontal bars. All the casement windows have wood head and side casings with window sills. A stucco covered ridge chimney sits in the middle of the gabled roof and has a two rounded chimney pots (**Photograph 3**). Two small rows of bushes and trees continue along the façade comprising the landscape. A crisscrossed brick walkway leads to the double combination swing-style patio door near the front of the house.

East Facing Façade

The east facing façade has a steeply-pitched gabled roof and a cross-gabled wing. Both have a slight eave overhang with unadorned fascias and soffits, and are covered in square-butt split shake shingles and V-Type ridge covers. A large square stucco-covered addition located on the above floor is protruding outward over the atrium. Continuing along this addition is a gutter with an outlet and a downspout. Stucco covers the exterior walls. Near the front is a small arched stained-glassed casement window (**Photograph 2**). Located on the eastern and south sides of the square addition are three casement windows, each with six single glass panes with muntins and horizontal bars. On the gabled roof, near the rear, are two recessed dormers with casement windows with six single glass panes with muntins and horizontal bars; each also possesses a bracketed top that is covered with square-butt split shake shingles. All the casement windows have window sills with wood head and side casings. A board-on-board wooden fence borders the atrium topped by a crisscrossed pattern. There are two board-on-board doors, each containing a centered hexagonal stain-glassed windowpane. Both doors have metal hinges with a door knob. A small row of bushes lines the eastern side below the arched stained-glass casement window (near the front).

South Facing Façade

George and Mabel Barr House

Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA

County and State

The south facing façade has a steeply-pitched gabled roof with a slight eave overhang with unadorned fascias and soffits. The gabled roof is covered with square-butt split shake shingles and V-Type ridge covers. The façade consists of an overhang over the backyard supported by two unelaborated square columns covered in stucco (**Photograph 4**). Both columns have mortared rockwork topped by brickwork near the bottom. The siding consists of stucco covering the exterior walls. On the top floor is a small casement window containing four small single glass panes with horizontal bars. Below are three casement windows each having six single glass panes with muntins and horizontal bars. Underneath the top floor overhang is another paired casement window containing six single glass panes with muntins and horizontal bars as well. A small casement window located at the western corner of the south façade next to a backyard wooden door contains four single glass panes with muntins and horizontal bars (**Photograph 4**). All the windows have wood head and side casings with window sills. The backyard wooden door to the right has nine single glass panes with a single panel on the lower half. Covering the door and corner casement window is a small hipped roof covered with square-butt split shake shingles and V-Type ridge covers supported by two decorative brackets. On the exterior bottom of the top floor overhang are half-timbered wood beams (**Photographs 4 & 8**). Leading from the driveway is a brick and stone pathway followed by two brick steps heading to the backyard wooden door. Next to the backyard wooden door is an ornamented rock countertop lined with brick. Above the rock countertop is a decorative arched rock ornamentation lined with brick (**Photograph 4**). A brick and stone pathway leads from the backyard door to two small lines of bushes sheltered by two metal arches. Lining the grass is more brickwork continuing to the backyard. A detached garage sits on the south side of the property (**Photograph 6**). The garage has a low-pitched hipped roof containing a front-gable with a large vent. The hipped roof has a slight eave overhang with unadorned fascias and soffits. The hipped and front-gabled roofs are covered with square-butt split shake shingles and V-Type ridge covers. Stucco covers the exterior walls. Along the front of the garage is a gutter with an outlet, a downspout, and gutter hangers. A six-paneled door is next to the garage door. An addition on the west side of the garage contains a low-pitched hipped roof covered with square-butt split shake shingles and V-Type ridge covers. The addition has a slight eave overhang with unadorned fascias and soffits. Stucco covers the exterior walls. Three casement windows facing north have six single glass panes with muntins and horizontal bars. Next to the entrance is a casement window containing six single glass panes with muntins and horizontal bars. Both windows have wood head and side casings with window sills. Above the entry is a stained-glass casement window with decorative inlay patterns. A protruding entry to the addition has a door containing nine single glass panes with a single panel on the lower half (**Photograph 8**). The door is topped with a wood beam with an additional wood beam on each side. The entry is covered by a small hipped roof covered with square-butt split shake shingles and V-Type ridge covers. A brick and stone pathway leads from the addition to the backyard wooden door. Small bushes and plants line the outside of the addition.

Alterations

There have been some alterations. The first alteration came in 1926, when the original owner made some repairs to the detached garage because of a fire. In 1931, the first major alteration occurred when the owner added a room and sleeping porch to the top floor of the house on the eastern side. In 1965 and 1967, repairs were made to the detached garage and house because of termite damage. In 1977, the fifth owner made minor repairs to the house because of fire damage. The last major alteration was done in 2015, when the current owners added a garage addition for an art studio. Nevertheless, despite these alterations, the house conveys the physical characteristics of its period of significance.

Integrity

George and Mabel Barr House

Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA

County and State

The George & Mabel Barr House maintains sufficient historic integrity to the time of which it was historically significant. The house has undergone some alterations from the original design, but still retains nearly all the character defining features.

Location

The location for the George & Mabel Barr House has remained the same since its construction. The house still sits in its original location at 2672 Montgomery Way.

Setting

The setting of the George & Mabel Barr House has remained the same since its construction. The house still located at 2672 Montgomery Way retains its topography and aesthetic preferences like, its natural vegetation surrounding the house, as well as it still facing north dating from its period of significance.

Design

Although, some alterations were made to the original building, the George & Mabel Barr House still retains the nearly all of the original design dating to its period of significance. The use of wood for the split shake shingles on the roof, glass for the casement windows, half-timbered siding adorning the front entry, and stucco serving as siding covering the exterior walls.

Workmanship

The workmanship for the property retains its integrity. The use of wood for the split shake shingles on the roof, half-timbered siding adorning the front entry, as well as head and side casings for the casement windows. The use of stucco as the siding covering the exterior walls. The use of glass for the window panes, and metal for the hinges on the eastern fence and door knobs. These show the craftsmanship of the property dating to its period of significance.

Materials

The overall materials do retain integrity because the significant portion of the materials used on the house date to its period of significance. Although the additional room, presumably to the above floor, as well as the sleeping porch to the master bedroom were not original, the materials used like, stucco for the siding, wood for the split shake shingles match the original materials used dating to the original design. The overall style of the detailing is still intact.

Feeling

The George & Mabel Barr House retains its integrity of feeling because the house expresses its aesthetic and historic sense of the Tudor Revival architectural style dating to its period of significance. The house, still at its original location of 2672 Montgomery Way sits facing north as from the original design.

Association

The George & Mabel Barr House retains its integrity of association because the house is directly linked with the Better Homes in America national movement that began in 1922, where it served as a model home contributing to the neighborhood development of South Curtis Oaks subdivision #1.

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service / National Register of Historic Places Registration Form
NPS Form 10-900 OMB No. 1024-0018

George and Mabel Barr House

Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA

County and State

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State

8. Statement of Significance

Applicable National Register Criteria

(Mark "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for National Register listing.)

- A. Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.
- B. Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.
- C. Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.
- D. Property has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Criteria Considerations

(Mark "x" in all the boxes that apply.)

- A. Owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes
- B. Removed from its original location
- C. A birthplace or grave
- D. A cemetery
- E. A reconstructed building, object, or structure
- F. A commemorative property
- G. Less than 50 years old or achieving significance within the past 50 years

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State

Areas of Significance
(Enter categories from instructions.)

COMMUNITY PLANNING
& DEVELOPMENT
/ARCHITECTURE

Period of Significance

1923

Significant Dates

Significant Person

(Complete only if Criterion B is marked above.)

N/A

Cultural Affiliation

N/A

Architect/Builder

Dean, Charles & Dean, James
/James Clarence Carly Company

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State

Statement of Significance Summary Paragraph (Provide a summary paragraph that includes level of significance, applicable criteria, justification for the period of significance, and any applicable criteria considerations.)

The George and Mabel Barr House, located at 2672 Montgomery Way, is eligible for the National Register of Historic Places at the local level of significance for its association with the Better Homes in America movement and as an excellent example of the Tudor Revival architectural style, executed by the regionally prominent architects Dean & Dean and builder J.C. Carly Company. Primarily, it relates to the influence of the Better Homes in America national movement that spread across the United States from 1922 until 1935. Additionally, the George and Mabel Barr House relates to the context of Community Planning and Development in California as a product of neighborhood and residential development of South Curtis Oaks in Sacramento, California. The house meets National Register Criterion A for its association with the Better Homes in America national movement. The house meets National Register Criterion C as a significant example of the Tudor Revival architectural style, as well as the work of master architects, Charles and James Dean. The period of significance is 1923, the year of construction.

Narrative Statement of Significance (Provide at least **one** paragraph for each area of significance.)

Criterion A: The George and Mabel Barr House is associated with Community Planning & Development for its association with the Better Homes in America movement

The George and Mabel Barr House is eligible under Criterion A at the local level of significance for its association with the early twentieth-century Better Homes in America movement. The Better Homes in America movement advocated homeownership, better living through modernization, neighborhood development, and traditional American family values. The George and Mabel Barr House's contribution in the Better Homes movement reveals its significance to the neighborhood of South Curtis Oaks, as well as the City of Sacramento.

In the years succeeding World War I, the United States experienced two economic declines that caused the economy to spiral downward due to the end of the war. First, the Post-World War I Recession lasted from August 1918 to March 1919 with the second being the Depression of 1920-1921. These downward economic spirals were caused by the end of wartime industrial production combined with the surge of millions of troops entering the civilian labor force leading to high unemployment rates. Additionally, the increased supply of agricultural crops, specifically in Europe, tighter monetary policies to combat inflation, as well as expected deflation led to decreased investment. "The existing slump is only the natural transition of industries returning to a normal basis from the speeding up of production made necessary by the war" stated Charles M. Schwab that mark the adjustment the United States was

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service / National Register of Historic Places Registration Form
NPS Form 10-900 OMB No. 1024-0018

George and Mabel Barr House

Sacramento County, CA

Name of Property

County and State

experiencing transitioning from a wartime to a peacetime economy.¹ Because of World War I, many women for the first-time experienced independence by working in the labor force. This led a “group of nationally prominent individuals” to express their concern for the “role of women and domestic architecture in America”.² They believed the 1920s were to be a different period from previous ones. They saw divorce rates rising combined with the invention of the automobile that were allowing people, namely women “greater potential freedom from the home”.³

Having lasted up to three years, the economy started to level out in the early 1920s, thereby giving Americans the chance to establish themselves once again. However, due to the economic recession and depression, many Americans could not obtain jobs, making them unable to purchase a house. Many saw this crucial aspect to American way of life as a place that needed improvement because it is a fundamental part of American freedom, which “safety rested with a home-owning democratic population”.⁴ This led to a housing crisis due to a critical shortage of homes being built and owned by Americans leading to one of the most significant national homeownership movements ever conceived, Better Homes in America (BHA). In order to address this crisis, BHA sought to improve the quality and quantity of homes built.

Starting in 1922, Marie M. Meloney, an editor for *The Delineator*, a Butterick Publishing Company magazine sought the promotion of homeownership, better living with modern appliances, and traditional family values through a campaign called Better Homes in America. This campaign was in response to the housing market crisis due to a critical shortage of homes, as well as to address the “national concern with the American Home and with the role of women”.⁵ It argued “that ‘traditional’ Republican values, not direct government funds, should aid Americans in obtaining homes”.⁶ Moreover, the sponsors of the movement said that “home ownership and home environment laid the foundation for a stable ‘American citizenry’”.⁷ Meloney obtained federal government support from then “President Harding and twenty-eight state governors”, in addition to establishing a National Advisory Council with then Vice President Calvin Coolidge as “honorary head and Secretary of Commerce Herbert Hoover as Chairman”.⁸ *The Delineator* obtained the sponsorship to endorse and encourage homeownership for the BHA campaign by sending its editor to “study of the few scattered ‘Better Homes’ and ‘Own Your Own

¹ “Business Slump Is Healthy, Says Schwab,” *Sacramento Union* (Sacramento, CA), Dec. 12, 1920, 1.

² Janet Hutchison, “The Cure for Domestic Neglect: Better Homes in America, 1922-1935,” *Perspectives in Vernacular Architecture* 2 (1986): 168-78, doi:10.2307/3514328, 168.

³ Hutchison, “The Cure for Domestic Neglect”, 168.

⁴ Hutchison, “The Cure for Domestic Neglect”, 168.

⁵ Hutchison, “The Cure for Domestic Neglect”, 170.

⁶ Janet Hutchison, “Better Homes and Gullah,” *Agricultural History* 67, no. 2 (1993): 102-18, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3744052>, 102.

⁷ Hutchison, “Better Homes and Gullah”, 102.

⁸ Hutchison, “The Cure for Domestic Neglect”, 168.

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service / National Register of Historic Places Registration Form
NPS Form 10-900 OMB No. 1024-0018

George and Mabel Barr House

Sacramento County, CA

Name of Property

County and State

Home' exhibitions held various times during the year".⁹ The first exhibit, completed by a "group of active club-women and business men in a Middle-Western town" built a "model seven-room house, furnished, equipped and decorated".¹⁰ In order to promote the campaign, BHA held annual campaigns or "Better Homes Demonstration Weeks" in small towns and cities across the country to "encourage citizens to own, build, remodel, and improve their homes".¹¹ This endorsement by the Federal Government and the twenty-eight state governors was to promote the idea that homeownership would produce good citizens and a great nation.

One way Marie M. Meloney sought the promotion of the campaign was through education. With the support of the Department of Education along with women's clubs across the United States, these exhibitions produced the most "vivid examples of better homes" that were visited by "thousands of women in their communities".¹² Additionally, the "editorial offices of *The Delineator* became the bureau of information and general headquarters of the Better Homes Movement".¹³ This allowed the Better Homes movement two benefits; to reach a wide-ranging audience for *The Delineator* magazine, as well as the federal government to "address voting women about their concern for the American home".¹⁴ Through education, Americans began to visit exhibitions and take up the goals and dreams the movement sought to establish. In an article written by then Vice President Calvin Coolidge published in *The Delineator*, BHA was the beginning of ridding the country of the "seeming ills" that could be turned from the "visionary to the practical".¹⁵ Moreover, he states that "Society rests on the home", where it is the "foundation of our institutions".¹⁶ With better homes, all of the "cherished childhood memories, the accomplishments of maturity and the consolations of age" are preserved.¹⁷ Also, the movement sought the education of children because it was through them "the home builders of the coming generation should also receive practical training in the problems of homemaking", where they "should begin early in life to set their minds to work on the values of well-managed homes through courses conducted in civic, in manual training", as well as in the established "economic classes".¹⁸

⁹ Mrs. William Brown Meloney, "From *the Editor's Point of View: Better Homes*," *The Delineator Magazine*, October 1922, 1.

¹⁰ Meloney, "From *the Editor's Point of View: Better Homes*", 1.

¹¹ "Better Homes Movement," Prosperity and Thrift: The Coolidge Era and the Consumer Economy, 1921-1929, accessed January 19, 2019, <https://memory.loc.gov/ammem/coolhtml/coolenab.html>.

¹² Meloney, "From *the Editor's Point of View: Better Homes*", 1.

¹³ Hutchison, "The Cure for Domestic Neglect", 168.

¹⁴ Hutchison, "The Cure for Domestic Neglect", 169.

¹⁵ Mrs. William Brown Meloney, "A Nation of Home-Owners," *The Delineator Magazine*, October 1922, 16.

¹⁶ Meloney, "A Nation of Home-Owners", 17.

¹⁷ Meloney, "A Nation of Home-Owners", 17.

¹⁸ Better Homes in America National Headquarters, "Better Homes In America: GuideBook for Better Homes Campaigns In Rural Communities and Small Towns, Foreword by Herbert Hoover," *Better Homes In America*, no. 11-12 (April to May 1927): 1-48, The Library of Congress, Prosperity and Thrift: The Coolidge Era and the Consumer Economy, 1921-1929, <https://memory.loc.gov/cgi-bin/ampage.>, 3.

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service / National Register of Historic Places Registration Form
NPS Form 10-900

OMB No. 1024-0018

George and Mabel Barr House

Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA

County and State

This movement believed not only homeownership would produce good citizens, but also families by giving more attention to working and middle-class families. In doing so, real estate developers who previously overlooked working and middle-class families saw them as an untapped source with the intention to expand the market to encourage sales. As one of BHA's purposes to promote homeownership, the campaign sought the construction of more comfortable and efficient homes. This in turn led the Better Homes movement to play a more vital role in the formation of community and residential development of Sacramento because from "1922 to 1929, Sacramento participated in the national Better Homes Week contest".¹⁹ These homes were selected from a local committee to "represent the city", and were furnished by some of the local "decorating stores, such as Bruener's".²⁰ The George and Mabel Barr house served as a model house outfitted by local establishments like, John Bruener's furniture company, where "under the auspices of the Woman's Bureau of the Sacramento Chamber of Commerce, the ideal 'Better Home' ... exhibited, affording a liberal education in the materials, furnishings, arts and crafts that are joined together in the important tasks of creating and maintaining BETTER HOMES".²¹ These houses were put on display for the Better Homes Week, wherein thousands of people came and visited. The BHA movement helped shape and carve out the neighborhood from the William Curtis Ranch that would become known as South Curtis Oaks subdivision #1, one of six sections to be designated for neighborhood development (see Figure 3).

The neighborhood known today as Curtis Park, once the ranch of William and Susan Curtis is the product of neighborhood development that swept across California and Sacramento in the late 1800s. The land today once consisted of farms and ranches that made a "mosaic composed of residential subdivisions laid down during the three periods of development".²² As the "farms and ranches persisted until the 1880s", a "growing city population, a real estate boom, and a transportation innovation, the streetcar" produced three periods of neighborhood development culminating in the formation of South Curtis Oaks and Curtis Park.²³ The development of South Curtis Oaks began after World War I in the third period of development, when the "dominant transportation technology was now the automobile and truck".²⁴ Because of this, a "series of early automobile subdivisions were rolled out" containing garages with "driveway strips" that replaced the "alleys and carriage houses".²⁵ These innovations in commuting led to the development of South Curtis Oaks because any suburban subdivision is the "effect of advances in transportation technology and infrastructure" that shape the landscape.²⁶

¹⁹ Dan Murphy, *Sacramento's Curtis Park* (San Francisco, CA: Arcadia Publishing, 2005), 58.

²⁰ Murphy, *Sacramento's Curtis Park*, 58.

²¹ "South Curtis Oaks Homes: Selected as Show Places," *Sacramento Union* (Sacramento, CA), June, 3 1923, 3.

²² Murphy, *Sacramento's Curtis Park*, 7.

²³ Murphy, *Sacramento's Curtis Park*, 7.

²⁴ Murphy, *Sacramento's Curtis Park*, 8.

²⁵ Murphy, *Sacramento's Curtis Park*, 8.

²⁶ Murphy, *Sacramento's Curtis Park*, 7.

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service / National Register of Historic Places Registration Form
NPS Form 10-900

OMB No. 1024-0018

George and Mabel Barr House

Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA

County and State

The William Curtis Ranch initially belonged to his brother, who in 1854 sold his “200-acre homestead” to William.²⁷ The ranch to the north originally belonging to “Uncle Billy” Richards was sold to “James Clarence ‘J.C.’ Carly” on July 5, 1871”, who would later become the builder for the houses located on Montgomery Way including 2672 Montgomery.²⁸ In June 1918, the already-established Curtis Oaks Improvement Club led a campaign with the aim to protect the new residential neighborhood tracts developing in South Curtis Oaks. Due to the campaign, the first order of business was to elect a committee of which J.C. Carly was a part.²⁹ However, that same year the Curtis Oaks Improvement Club took measures to ensure their neighborhood would be preserved declaring the “beautiful oak trees around the streets in this district are the community’s most valuable asset”.³⁰ Furthermore, as noted by *The Architect & Engineer*, a magazine chronicling different architect and engineering accomplishments, Sacramento already had developed an appreciation for its trees stating “It may be said in passing that these glorious elm and locust trees which arch over Sacramento’s streets constitute a rare asset of beauty and comfort, and the tendency one notes to encroach upon them needlessly is nothing short of criminal”.³¹ As stated earlier, being in the third period of development in the years succeeding World War I, “On December 22, 1919, Carrie and George Cutter agreed to transfer the east side Curtis Ranch” to the East Curtis Oaks Company, controlled mainly by J.C. Carly for “subdivision and sale”.³² The East Curtis Oaks Company was formed by J.C. Carly, and his partners Hawk and Hawley to “buy and develop the remainder of the William Curtis Ranch”.³³ That same year, the Hickman Investment Company and Cutters (William Curtis’ daughter Edna) transferred her interests in the Curtis Ranch granting the “land for William Curtis Park to the City of Sacramento”.³⁴ The houses on Montgomery Way are located in South Curtis Oaks subdivision #1 on the east side of the planned Curtis Park that were filed for residential homes on January 26, 1920.³⁵ On this east side, many of the homes were “built by the J.C. Carly Company using materials from the Cutter Mill and Lumber Company”, which Curtis H. Cutter, the grandson of William Curtis was president.³⁶ These houses being “automobile oriented” were designed for their entrance’s to be “oriented to both the street and the driveway”.³⁷ *The Home Designer* magazine of

²⁷ Murphy, *Sacramento’s Curtis Park*, 9.

²⁸ Murphy, *Sacramento’s Curtis Park*, 9.

²⁹ “Residents of Curtis Oaks Organize Club,” *Sacramento Union* (Sacramento, CA), June. 14, 1921, 12.

³⁰ “Committee Named to Save Big Oaks,” *Sacramento Bee* (Sacramento, CA), March. 8, 1918, 12.

³¹ Irving F. Morrow, “Recent Work by Dean and Dean, Architects,” *The Architect & Engineer* Vol. LXIX, no. 3, June 1922, 48.

³² Murphy, *Sacramento’s Curtis Park*, 57.

³³ Janice C. Calpo, “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House 2672 Montgomery Way Curtis Park, Sacramento” (unpublished document, private collection of Sharyn Kaplan, April 2005), 1.

³⁴ Calpo, “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House”, 3.

³⁵ Calpo, “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House”, 3.

³⁶ Murphy, *Sacramento’s Curtis Park*, 57.

³⁷ Murphy, *Sacramento’s Curtis Park*, 57.

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service / National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

NPS Form 10-900

OMB No. 1024-0018

George and Mabel Barr House

Sacramento County, CA

Name of Property

County and State

1923 describes South Curtis Oaks as a district “that is exclusively and essentially one of residences” fitting to Curtis Park.³⁸ Furthermore, it states that “Here locational and natural advantages have been combined ... that will consummate a park of state-wide renown and form a connecting link between Sacramento’s elite home section and Sacramento’s great public park, William Land, by means of a beautiful boulevard”.³⁹ Moreover, the houses on Montgomery Way have been “carefully chosen to characterize this distinctive district – occupying wide lots and governed by restrictions that preclude the building of homes other than those that will be a credit to the district”.⁴⁰

James Clarence “J.C.” Carly, the builder for the houses envisioned “South Curtis Oaks as an elegant subdivision, with parked, winding roads and wide imposing entrance gates”.⁴¹ Specifically, Mr. Carly sought to make Montgomery Way the “gateway” to South Curtis Oaks that would put the neighborhood on the map.⁴² By 1923, J.C. Carly’s vision for the South Curtis Oaks residential subdivision was taking shape, where he gained local recognition arguing South Curtis Oaks as “Sacramento’s premier place to live”.⁴³ *The Home Designer* touts it as a place that has “gained local importance and begins casting about for national recognition”, one that “boasts of a superior residence section – where those wishing to establish such homes as will be expressive of good taste and comfort”.⁴⁴ This acknowledgement helped bring more attention to the area adding to its aesthetics and beauty, and ultimately J.C. Carly’s vision of a grand place to live. In order to highlight Montgomery Way as the “gateway” entrance to the South Curtis Oaks subdivision, Mr. Carly “chose to locate his home at its grand entrance on the corner of Montgomery Way and Franklin Boulevard” with the purpose of promoting the area.⁴⁵ Additionally, Mr. Carly sought only the best houses for Montgomery Way because he reserved the street for the “grandest” homes in the neighborhood, where the homeowners could choose from “J.C. Carly’s collection of Dean & Dean plans, or could work directly with Dean & Dean to create” custom houses on the street.⁴⁶

Criterion C: The George and Mabel Barr House embodies the distinctive characteristics of the Tudor Revival architectural style

The George and Mabel Barr House is eligible under Criterion C at the local level of significance as a significant example of the Tudor Revival architectural style executed by the regionally prominent

³⁸ “South Curtis Oaks: Sacramento, Calif. – distinctive home district,” *The Home Designer Magazine*, March 1923, 83.

³⁹ “South Curtis Oaks: Sacramento, Calif. – distinctive home district”, 83.

⁴⁰ “South Curtis Oaks: Sacramento, Calif. – distinctive home district”, 84.

⁴¹ Calpo, “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House”, 2.

⁴² Calpo, “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House”, 6.

⁴³ Calpo, “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House”, 5.

⁴⁴ “South Curtis Oaks: Sacramento, Calif. – distinctive home district”, 83.

⁴⁵ Calpo, “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House”, 6.

⁴⁶ Calpo, “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House”, 6.

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service / National Register of Historic Places Registration Form
NPS Form 10-900

OMB No. 1024-0018

George and Mabel Barr House

Sacramento County, CA

Name of Property

County and State

architectural firm Dean & Dean. The George and Mabel Barr House serves as a significant example of Tudor Revival architecture by its stucco covered exterior walls, its front-gabled single shingle roof form, its diamond-paned leaded glass casement windows along with its half-timbered siding adorning the front entry. The George and Mabel Barr House serves as the work of master architects Charles and James Dean, who were known for their commercial projects such as the Memorial Auditorium, Westminster Presbyterian Church, and the Sutter Club. Their design emits the character defining features of Tudor Revival architecture forever linking it to the Tudor style during the Tudor period in England of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries.

Mr. Carly chose Dean & Dean based on their architectural skill from which they could design from “small in scale to grand”.⁴⁷ Montgomery Way is the only portion of South Curtis Oaks subdivision #1 that is a “completely architect-designed tract in Sacramento” that was designed by Dean & Dean and built by the J.C. Carly Company.⁴⁸ For Mr. Carly’s vision of Montgomery Way, many homeowners, although not required, were encouraged to have Dean & Dean design their houses because of their “proven record, and assured acceptance by the Carly company”.⁴⁹ In addition, there was a “\$4500 minimum” deposit with all designs having to be “approved by Carly’s company”.⁵⁰ With these grand visions for South Curtis Oaks, and more specifically Montgomery Way, Mr. Carly sought the best in order to bring his vision to life by hiring James and Charles Dean.

Charles and James Dean were born and raised in Texas, where their father, R.K. Dean was an engineer and builder. Both boys went on to attend and graduate from Texas A&M University, where Charles “took classes in engineering and drawing” before moving on to work for an architectural office in San Antonio.⁵¹ Upon leaving San Antonio, Charles then went to work in Chicago for Schmidt, Garden, and Erikson, a Chicago architectural firm started by Richard Ernest Schmidt, Hugh Mackie Gordon Garden, and Carl Anthony Erikson that specialized in health and educational projects. James, the younger brother went on to attend the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), wherein upon his graduation he arrived in California to work with his brother in San Francisco.⁵² The State Architect at the time, George C. Sellon traveled east looking for architects to bring to California because the state needed architects to help with the damage caused by the 1906 earthquake in San Francisco. Mr. Sellon recruited Charles Dean to come work for him with the intention to help “expedite the reconstruction of the devastated city” of San Francisco in 1908.⁵³ In 1912, two years after James graduated from MIT, he joined Charles, and would later be “appointed architect for the City of Sacramento”.⁵⁴ In 1922, Charles

⁴⁷ Calpo, “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House”, 6.

⁴⁸ Calpo, “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House”, 6.

⁴⁹ Calpo, “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House”, 6.

⁵⁰ Calpo, “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House”, 6.

⁵¹ Paula Boghosian, “Historical Report: The Anne Hathaway Cottage 2640 Montgomery Way South Curtis Oaks” (Private collection of Paula Boghosian: Historic Environment Consultants, August 2018), 8.

⁵² Center for Sacramento History, Nicholas A. Tomich Collection, 1989/005, Period I: 1922-1930 Dean & Dean, 1.

⁵³ Center for Sacramento History, Nicholas A. Tomich Collection, 1989/005, 1.

⁵⁴ Center for Sacramento History, Nicholas A. Tomich Collection, 1989/005, 1.

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service / National Register of Historic Places Registration Form
NPS Form 10-900 OMB No. 1024-0018

George and Mabel Barr House

Sacramento County, CA

Name of Property

County and State

and James Dean founded their architectural firm, Dean & Dean Architects, where they immediately started working with Sacramento City School District. The firm was reassigned to design many public schools, where at least “ten schools were planned for the City School District” including names like, Jefferson, David Lubin, American Legion, El Dorado, and Sierra.⁵⁵ Over time the firm became known for its commercial projects such as the Memorial Auditorium, Westminster Presbyterian Church, and the Sutter Club that serve as outstanding examples of their work. Charles and James profited from the timing because “they played a significant role in the transformation of the City of Sacramento into a regional center with numerous important public buildings executed in the Revival styles of the 1920s and 1930s”.⁵⁶ This translated into Dean & Dean designing multiple residential projects such as houses including those located in South Curtis Oaks.

The houses in South Curtis Oaks, specifically on Montgomery Way are fine examples of the multiple Revival period architectural styles that swept the United States during the early 1920s. J.C. Carly’s vision of Montgomery Way serving as the entrance to South Curtis Oaks and Curtis Park came to life because of his ambition to produce a street indicative of the architectural styles of the time. Predicated on his dream, Mr. Carly “announced that his company planned soon to commence building 20 dwellings, each with a different elevation and distinctive architectural lines”.⁵⁷ By reserving the best houses for Montgomery Way, and employing Dean & Dean, Mr. Carly’s vision of promoting South Curtis Oaks subdivision #1 as a great place to live became a neighborhood for the promotion of different architectural styles. One of these styles was Tudor Revival, an architectural style that began in the early 1910s taking its origins from the Tudor architectural style from the Tudor period of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. Sometimes called “Elizabethan” or “Half-Timbered” houses, the Tudor Revival style brought the main character defining features of steeply-pitched gabled roof forms, single shingles roofs, half-timbered exteriors along with framed stucco walls, casement windows with tall and narrow frames, and tall chimneys placed predominantly in the house. With designs coming from Dean & Dean, the houses on Montgomery Way became some of the most beautiful examples of these Revival period styles. *The Architect and Engineer* magazine stated “Their houses are homes – not residences. A charm prevails or mantles them with an air of refinement which never tires. Simplicity in form and detail is the most apparent in both house and garden and such versatility in execution in the work of modern periods from the Colonial to the modern Spanish. Bits of interest sparkle here and there indicating devotion to each problem as it came to them for solution”.⁵⁸ The Barr-Wixson dwelling is an excellent example of the popular Tudor Revival architectural style that help came to define the early twentieth century Revival period.

The dwelling at 2672 Montgomery became one of the most unique houses on the street due to the original owners, George and Mabel (Wixson) Barr. Costing \$6,500 to build in 1923, George and Mabel

⁵⁵ Center for Sacramento History, Nicholas A. Tomich Collection, 1989/005, 1.

⁵⁶ Calpo, “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House”, 6.

⁵⁷ Calpo, “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House”, 3.

⁵⁸ John J. Donovan, “Recent Work of Dean and Dean Architects,” *The Architect & Engineer* Vol. 90, no. 1, July 1927, 41.

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service / National Register of Historic Places Registration Form
NPS Form 10-900

OMB No. 1024-0018

George and Mabel Barr House

Sacramento County, CA

Name of Property

County and State

(Wixson) Barr each purchased their own lot; George's 60-foot on the west with Mabel's 60-foot on the east for \$1,000 each.⁵⁹ In doing so, the George and Mabel Barr house is a double lot, the only one of its kind located in South Curtis Oaks. Effectively doubling the size, the lot today is over a quarter acre large making it one of the most desirable parcels on Montgomery Way.

Over time architectural styles have been reinterpreted with new interpretations and additions that make each succeeding version different from the last. This trend applied to architectural styles in the United States, where during the early twentieth century, many styles were becoming popular again producing a movement referred to as the Revival period. This Revival period came to be one of the dominate movements starting in the 1920s and lasting through the 1940s. Of this period were multiple architectural styles such as Tudor Revival, Colonial Revival, Italian or Renaissance Revival, and Spanish and Mission Revival. These styles took their origins and heavy influences from the earlier periods of architectural styles of which they were predicated on. One of the most widespread and common styles was Tudor Revival originating from the Tudor period of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries in England. The Tudor Revival style, represented "an amalgamation of Elizabethan, English, Jacobean, and Norman influences" that imitates the style during the reign of Queen Elizabeth I (1558-1603).⁶⁰ These origins came to define the style with its "ornate timber, masonry and stucco veneers, patterned brick and stonework, and dark walnut or mahogany interiors", which "lent texture and depth".⁶¹ The appearance of these features were recreated with "modern techniques" that use the "same kind of craftsmanship" 400 years earlier.⁶² Intended for the wealthy, the Tudor style was "quickly adopted by the middle class" citizens making it extremely popular.⁶³ This popularity along with the United States' fascination of earlier styles contributed to Tudor Revival becoming so widespread. Starting during the 1876 Centennial Exhibition, celebrating the United States' 100th birthday, Tudor Revival along with other Revival period styles "helped to fuel an interest in both American colonial architecture and in British architecture of an earlier time" promoting their interest that linked many to the "landed gentry of their forefathers".⁶⁴ Picking up steam during the 1893 Chicago World's Fair, revival styles "gained momentum" that saw revivalist architecture "looking to the future, not to the past" spawning a modern progressive movement.⁶⁵ These events would help Tudor Revival among others to see an increase in popularity at the beginning of the 1920s helping to foster the Revival period.

⁵⁹ Calpo, "Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House", 8.

⁶⁰ Virginia O. Benson, and Richard Klein, *Historic Preservation for Professionals*, (Kent, Ohio: Kent State University Press, 2008), 76.

⁶¹ Benson, and Richard Klein, *Historic Preservation for Professionals*, 76.

⁶² Calpo, "Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House", 7.

⁶³ Benson, and Richard Klein, *Historic Preservation for Professionals*, 76.

⁶⁴ Lee Goff, *Tudor Revival Houses in America from 1890 to the Present* (New York: Universe Publishing, 2002), 10.

⁶⁵ "Tudor Revival," Architectural Styles of America and Europe, accessed February 11, 2019. <https://architecturestyles.org/tudor-revival/>.

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service / National Register of Historic Places Registration Form
NPS Form 10-900 OMB No. 1024-0018

George and Mabel Barr House

Sacramento County, CA

Name of Property

County and State

Even though, the original Tudor style was intended for the wealthy upper class, the revival reinterpretation would be focused on “homes and residential club buildings”.⁶⁶ The most prominent feature would become the half-timbered walls with stucco frames and infills.⁶⁷ “Even today it remains a common style for larger houses built as manors”, where it is frequently used in the development of neighborhoods and college houses.⁶⁸ In March 1923, *The Home Designer* magazine praises the style for its influence and ability to adjust to changing times as it states “One feels the dominance of English architecture ... This type is being more admired as time passes because of its wonderful adaptability to most any clime and the fact that with age its air of hominess and permanency is so greatly enhanced”.⁶⁹ This adaptability made Tudor Revival more easily adaptable to changing environments, where the additions of garages, verandas, and studios, as well as natural vegetation with rocks and trees made the style more practical.⁷⁰ Along with its practicality, Tudor’s cozy and homey feel originating from the old English “humble medieval cottages” resonated with new generations.⁷¹ Virginia McAlester, author of *A Field Guide to American Houses: The Definitive Guide to Identifying and Understanding America’s Domestic Architecture* states the Tudor Revival style dominated domestic construction that came to contribute “a large proportion of early 20th-century suburban houses throughout the country ... comprising about 25 percent of houses built during the 1920s”.⁷² This tremendous growth, due in part to the end of World War I “renewed interest in the romance of picturesque designs based on earlier historical precedents”.⁷³

However, during the early 1920s, it appears the Better Homes movement helped spawn the spread and promotion of this style along with others because of the amount of land being divided up for neighborhood development. The BHA movement allowed local architects such as Dean & Dean to adopt multiple versions of the style, realizing the public’s response in supporting “a variety of architectural motifs in a variety of outlying communities and suburbs that evolved” during this time period.⁷⁴ The George and Mabel Barr house exhibits the character defining features of the Tudor Revival style such as the front-gabled roof, square-butt roof shingles, tall and decorative casement windows, as well as stucco

⁶⁶Norman Tyler, Ilene R. Tyler, Ted J. Ligibel, *Historic Preservation: An Introduction to its History, Principles, and Practice* (New York: W. W. Norton & Company, 2018), 181.

⁶⁷ Tyler, Ilene R. Tyler, Ted J. Ligibel, *Historic Preservation: An Introduction to its History, Principles, and Practice*, 181.

⁶⁸ Tyler, Ilene R. Tyler, Ted J. Ligibel, *Historic Preservation: An Introduction to its History, Principles, and Practice*, 182.

⁶⁹ “Expressive of the English Influence,” *The Home Designer Magazine*, March 1923, 85.

⁷⁰ Goff, *Tudor Revival Houses in America from 1890 to the Present*, 10.

⁷¹ Goff, *Tudor Revival Houses in America from 1890 to the Present*, 11.

⁷² Virginia Savage McAlester, *A Field Guide to American Houses: The Definitive Guide to Identifying and Understanding America’s Domestic Architecture* (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 2013), 454.

⁷³ Calpo, “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House”, 7.

⁷⁴ Paula Boghosian, “Historical Report: The Anne Hathaway Cottage 2640 Montgomery Way South Curtis Oaks”, 13.

United States Department of the Interior

National Park Service / National Register of Historic Places Registration Form
NPS Form 10-900

OMB No. 1024-0018

George and Mabel Barr House

Sacramento County, CA

Name of Property

County and State

covered exterior walls. Due to these character defining features, the George and Mabel Barr house serves as an outstanding example of the Tudor Revival style displaying some of its iconic characteristics.

The George and Mabel Barr house located at 2672 Montgomery Way is a significant example of the Tudor Revival architectural style that dominated United States domestic and residential architecture throughout the Revival period, specifically in the 1920s. Tudor Revival was instrumental in the promotion of the Revival period in the early 1920s, helping the spread of the Better Homes movement, as well as developing new residential subdivisions like South Curtis Oaks. Its significance to Sacramento and United States history is evident in its association and contribution to the Better Homes in America movement, in addition to its role in the expansion of Sacramento leading to the formation of South Curtis Oaks and Curtis Park.

The George and Mabel Barr House is significant under Criterion C for its Tudor Revival architectural style executed by the regionally prominent architectural firm Dean & Dean. Its character defining features include stucco covered exterior walls, front-gabled single shingle roof form, diamond-paned leaded glass casement windows, and half-timbered siding adorning the front entry. The George and Mabel Barr House serves as a representative work of master architects Charles and James Dean, who were known for their commercial projects such as the Memorial Auditorium, Westminster Presbyterian Church, and the Sutter Club. Their design for 2672 Montgomery Way forever links the house to the Tudor Revival style, inspired by Tudor period in England of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries.

9. Major Bibliographical References

Bibliography (Cite the books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this form.)

Benson, Virginia O., and Richard Klein. *Historic Preservation for Professionals*. Kent, Ohio: Kent State University Press, 2008.

Better Homes in America National Headquarters. "Better Homes In America: GuideBook for Better Homes Campaigns In Rural Communities and Small Towns, Foreword by Herbert Hoover." *Better Homes In America*, no. 11-12 (April to May 1927): 1-48. The Library of Congress, Prosperity and Thrift: The Coolidge Era and the Consumer Economy, 1921-1929. <https://memory.loc.gov/cgi-bin/ampage>.

"Better Homes Movement." Prosperity and Thrift: The Coolidge Era and the Consumer Economy, 1921-1929. Accessed January 19, 2019. <https://memory.loc.gov/ammem/coolhtml/coolenab.html>

Boghosian, Paula. "Historical Report: The Anne Hathaway Cottage 2640 Montgomery Way South Curtis Oaks." Private collection of Paula Boghosian: Historic Environment Consultants, August 2018.

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State

“Business Slump Is Healthy Says Schwab.” *Sacramento Union* (Sacramento, CA), Dec. 12, 1920.

Calpo, Janice C. “Historical Report: Geo. W. Barr House 2672 Montgomery Way Curtis Park, Sacramento.” Unpublished document, private collection of Sharyn Kaplan, April 2005.

Center for Sacramento History, Nicholas A. Tomich Collection, 1989/005, Period I: 1922-1930 Dean & Dean.

“Committee Named to Save Big Oaks.” *Sacramento Bee*. NewsBank. Accessed February 10, 2019.
https://0-infoweb-newsbank-com.www.saclibrarycatalog.org/apps/news/document-view?p=AMNEWS&t=favorite%3ASBC%21Sacramento%2520Bee%2520Collection%2520with%2520Historical&sort=YMD_date%3AA&fld-base-0=allt.

Donovan, John J. “Recent Work of Dean and Dean Architects.” *The Architect & Engineer* Vol. 90, no. 1. July 1927.

“Expressive of the English Influence.” *The Home Designer Magazine*, March 1923.

Goff, Lee. *Tudor Revival Houses in America from 1890 to the Present*. New York: Universe Publishing, 2002.

Greene, Fayal, and Bonita Bavetta. *The Anatomy of a House: A Picture Dictionary of Architectural and Design Elements*. New York: DoubleDay, 1991.

Hutchison, Janet. “Better Homes and Gullah.” *Agricultural History* 67, no. 2 (1993): 102-18.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3744052>.

Hutchison, Janet. “The Cure for Domestic Neglect: Better Homes in America, 1922-1935.” *Perspectives in Vernacular Architecture* 2 (1986): 168-78. doi:10.2307/3514328.

Meloney, Mrs. William Brown. “A Nation of Home-Owners.” *The Delineator Magazine*, October 1922.

Meloney, Mrs. William Brown. “From the Editor’s Point of View: Better Homes.” *The Delineator Magazine*, October 1922.

Michael, Michelle, Adam Smith, and Jennifer Sin. *The Architecture of the Department of Defense: A Military Style Guide*. Champaign, IL: Department of the Navy, Construction Engineering Research Laboratory & U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, 2011.

Morrow, Irving F. “Recent Work by Dean and Dean, Architects.” *The Architect & Engineer* Vol. LXIX, no. 3. June 1922.

Murphy, Dan. *Images of America: Sacramento’s Curtis Park*. Chicago: Arcadia Publishing, 2005.

“Residents of Curtis Oaks Organize Club.” *Sacramento Union* (Sacramento, CA), June. 14, 1921.

Sacramento County Assessor’s Office for 2672 Montgomery Way, Sacramento, CA.

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State

Sacramento County Building Permits for 2672 Montgomery Way, Sacramento, CA.

Sacramento Directory CO'S. "Sacramento City Directory 1924." Sacramento (*Sacramento County, Calif.*)
City Directory (1924).

Savage McAlester, Virginia. *A Field Guide to American Houses: The Definitive Guide to Identifying and Understanding America's Domestic Architecture*. 2nd ed. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, Penguin Random House LLC, 2013.

"South Curtis Oaks Homes: Selected as Show Places." *Sacramento Union* (Sacramento, CA), June, 23 1923.

"South Curtis Oaks: Sacramento, Calif. – distinctive home district." *The Home Designer Magazine*, March 1923, pg. 83.

"Tudor Revival." Architectural Styles of America and Europe. Accessed February 11, 2019.
<https://architecturestyles.org/tudor-revival/>.

Tyler, Norman, Ilene R. Tyler, and Ted J. Ligibel. *Historic Preservation: An Introduction to its History, Principles, and Practice*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company: Independent Publishers Since 1923, 2018.

Previous documentation on file (NPS):

- preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67) has been requested
- previously listed in the National Register
- previously determined eligible by the National Register
- designated a National Historic Landmark
- recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey # _____
- recorded by Historic American Engineering Record # _____
- recorded by Historic American Landscape Survey # _____

Primary location of additional data:

- State Historic Preservation Office
- Other State agency
- Federal agency
- Local government
- University
- Other

Name of repository: Center for Sacramento History; Sacramento County Assessor's Office; California State Library; California State University, Sacramento; Sacramento Central Library

Historic Resources Survey Number (if assigned): _____

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State

10. Geographical Data

Acreege of Property 0.28 acres

Use either the UTM system or latitude/longitude coordinates

Latitude/Longitude Coordinates (decimal degrees)

Datum if other than WGS84: _____

(enter coordinates to 6 decimal places)

1. Latitude: 38.546500 Longitude: -121.477810

Verbal Boundary Description (Describe the boundaries of the property.)

The boundary of the George and Mabel Barr house is defined by the assessor parcel number, APN: 013-0232-006-0000, which corresponds to the attached sketch map.

Boundary Justification (Explain why the boundaries were selected.)

The George and Mabel Barr house is on a historic parcel that serves as the boundary limit.

11. Form Prepared By

name/title: Matthew Walker

organization: California State University, Sacramento, Public History Master's Program

street & number: P.O. Box 2696

city or town: Elk Grove state: CA zip

code: 95759

e-mail mattwalker94@gmail.com

telephone: (916) 897-4398

date: February, 2019

Additional Documentation

Submit the following items with the completed form:

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property


Sacramento County, CA
County and State

- **Maps:** A USGS map or equivalent (7.5 or 15 minute series) indicating the property's location.
- **Sketch map** for historic districts and properties having large acreage or numerous resources. Key all photographs to this map.
- **Additional items:** (Check with the SHPO, TPO, or FPO for any additional items.)

Location Map:

Source: Google Maps

Latitude: 38.546500, Longitude: -121.477810




George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State

Sketch Map

Source: Sacramento County Assessor Parcel Viewer



Sanborn Map

Source: Sacramento County Assessor's Map Book 13, Page 23.



George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State

POR. SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUB. I

Tax Area Code

13-23



Historic Photographs

Figure 1: Advertisement for J.C. Carly Company in the *Sacramento Union* from Donald & Beverly Gerth Special Collections & University Archives-Sacramento State Library.

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State




Figure 3: Map of South Curtis Oaks subdivision #1 showing Montgomery Way in *The Home Designer* magazine from Sacramento Central Library.

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State



Figure 4: Advertisement in *Sacramento Union* article showing South Curtis Oaks deriving its name from the beautiful oaks trees. From Donald & Beverly Gerth Special Collections & University Archives-Sacramento State Library.

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State



Figure 5: Better Homes in America in *The Delineator* magazine from Sacramento Central Library.

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State

BETTER HOMES IN AMERICA
Announcing the inauguration of a nation-wide campaign conducted in cooperation with national and state officials

THE HOME AS AN INVESTMENT
By HERBERT HOOVER
Secretary of Commerce


Figure 6: Advertisement for the George and Mabel Barr House as a model home in South Curtis Oaks from the *Sacramento Union*. From Donald & Beverly Gerth Special Collections & University Archives- Sacramento State Library.

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State

Ask the Man Who Owns a Carly-Built Home

SOUTH CURTIS OAKS HOMES



Anne Hathaway Cottage
2549 MONTGOMERY WAY SOUTH CURTIS OAKS

"The Better Home"

—Here, under the auspices of the Woman's Bureau of the Sacramento Chamber of Commerce, the ideal "Better Home" is exhibited, affording a liberal education in the materials, furnishings, arts and crafts that are joined together in the important tasks of creating and maintaining BETTER HOMES.

—The full meaning of a better home starts with the design, is carried out through the building into the furnishings and appointments. There is harmony, rest and peace suggested in every detail—a place that we love to call "home." These features have all admirably been brought out in this South Curtis Oaks "Better Home."


Selected as Showplaces

Here Will Be Conducted Electrical Home and Better Home Demonstrations—June 1 to 10

THE J. C. Carly Co. feel that no more sincere recognition of the character and type of homes we have built in this distinctive district could be expressed than in the selection of these two homes. One as a model for the advancement of Modern Electrical Home Appliances, the other in advocacy of the great Nation-wide movement for Better Homes.

—During the days of June 1 to 10 there will be thousands of visitors to South Curtis Oaks. People who are interested in homes—people anxious to improve their homes—better their surroundings—and in the obtaining of those added comforts and pleasures of which such environment is productive.

—As these thoughts centralize in the home itself it will only be natural that you should feel a desire to see other homes—homes that are distinctive—homes that convey those ideas and impressions which are but a sequence to the thoughts of better homes. Visit the Dutch Colonial home, 2649 Montgomery Way, the Old English home, 2672 Montgomery Way, and the numerous new homes on 6th Avenue. These are homes that are in every way Better Homes. And note the beautiful building lots South Curtis Oaks affords—the ideal place for your home.



The "Better Electrical Home"
274 SIXTH AVENUE SOUTH CURTIS OAKS

Advanced Appliances

—To demonstrate to what extent modern electrical appliances can be utilized in the home—both in labor-saving devices and appurtenances for comfort, entertainment, etc., the California Electrical Co-operative Assn. has fitted up this "Better Electrical Home,"—furnished by Dunn & Frazer—where every improved electrical means of increasing the pleasures of home life are displayed.

—It will be interesting to conceive to what extent electricity has advanced in home-making; the ease with which one's arduous tasks can be performed, the speed of accomplishments, and the wonderful field the currents of contact have opened up.

Our representative will be at our South Curtis Oaks Office, 2723 6th Avenue, every afternoon and evening to give you full information concerning homes and homesites in South Curtis Oaks.

There will be a hostess to receive you at both these homes between the hours of 2 and 9 p. m. daily.

Do not fail to see both of these demonstrations. Whether or not you are planning a new home they will be of instructive interest.

The opportunity afforded to visit these two homes will bring out more forcibly many of the exclusive features that are a part of wonderful development and assured future of this district

Creators of South Curtis Oaks

J. C. CARLY CO.

823 J Street, Phone Main 6030

Figure 7: Thomas Brothers Map Books showing original owners George & Mabel Barr each with their own lot. From private collection of Don Cox & Paula Boghosian.

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State





Figure 8: Conceptual drawing showing similarities to 2672 Montgomery Way, the George and Mabel Barr House drawn by Charles Dean from the Center for Sacramento History.

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State



Figures 9 & 10: Portraits of original owners, George & Mabel Wixson Barr in *Sacramento Union* and *Sacramento Bee*. From Donald & Beverly Gerth Special Collections & University Archives-Sacramento State Library and Newsbank Inc.

Figure 9

Figure 10

George and Mabel Barr House
Name of Property

Sacramento County, CA
County and State



Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: This information is being collected for applications to the National Register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing or determine eligibility for listing, to list properties, and to amend existing listings. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C.460 et seq.).

Estimated Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 100 hours per response including time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the Office of Planning and Performance Management, U.S. Dept. of the Interior, 1849 C. Street, NW, Washington, DC.